

MississippiRiverDelta.org

/MississippiRiverDelta

@RestoreDelta

September 20, 2019

Re: Mitigation of the MVN West Shore Lake Pontchartrain Levee project

Dear Assistant Secretary James,

After recent discussions aboard the Motor Vessel Mississippi with you and your staff members from the Mississippi Valley Division (MVD) and New Orleans District (MVN), our groups would like to submit some supportive comments and recommendations regarding the use of the Louisiana Coastal Protection and Restoration Authority's (CPRA) Mississippi River Reintroduction into Maurepas Swamp in Multiple Parishes project as mitigation for the USACE MVN West Shore Lake Pontchartrain levee project. In your role as Assistant Secretary of the Army for Civil Works, we believe that your active support of this concept would facilitate its speedy implementation.

The Restore the Mississippi River Delta Campaign (MRD) is a coalition of National Audubon Society, the Coalition to Restore Coastal Louisiana, Environmental Defense Fund, National Wildlife Federation, and Lake Pontchartrain Basin Foundation, representing thousands of Louisiana members and supporters. We work together to advocate for science-based restoration efforts in coastal Louisiana.

We have designated the Mississippi River Reintroduction into Maurepas Swamp as a priority project¹ for coastal Louisiana as this reintroduction would restore the flow of freshwater, nutrients and suspended sediment to the Maurepas swamp, mimicking a natural distributary. It would improve hydrology by increasing flow-through and decreasing salinities; improve resiliency and long-term sustainability against relative sea level rise by increasing growth rates and soil accumulation; and it would increase primary productivity and ecosystem function while maintaining healthy populations and biodiversity in one of the nation's largest swamps.

We have long advocated for, and continue to hope for, genuine and effective coordination of restoration efforts among Federal and state agencies. Such coordination is a goal of USACE as well, as outlined in the Gulf of Mexico Regional Ecosystem Restoration Strategy:

"The Task Force seeks to improve cooperation and coordination among various federal, state, tribal and local entities, reduce duplication of efforts, and help align and move forward sustainable resource management strategies, restoration plans and resiliency projects"²

This has recently been reinforced in Gulf Coast Ecosystem Restoration Council, Comprehensive Plan Update:

² Gulf of Mexico Regional Ecosystem Restoration Strategy, Gulf Coast Ecosystem Restoration Task Force, December 2011, p. 12 https://archive.epa.gov/gulfcoasttaskforce/web/pdf/gulfcoastreport_full_12-04_508-1.pdf











¹ <u>http://mississippiriverdelta.org/restoration-solutions/priority-restoration-projects/</u>



MississippiRiverDelta.org

/MississippiRiverDelta

@RestoreDelta

"the Council recognizes that coordination and collaboration among members and our restoration partners is critical to the success of Gulf restoration. The Council hereby reaffirms its commitment to such coordination and collaboration."³

Few projects have a longer history of USACE and interagency support than the Mississippi River Reintroduction into Maurepas Swamp in Multiple Parishes project, which has gone through several iterations of planning and name changes, including Small Diversion at Hope Canal, which began as a CWPPRA Task Force project, and was thereafter listed among the Louisiana Coastal Area (LCA) critical near term projects.

Having undergone years of interagency and public review, the Hope Canal project is in a good position to move forward expeditiously within the LCA Plan.

The Hope Canal project offers an excellent opportunity to capitalize on existing environmental and engineering information to provide near-term environmental benefits to an area of critical need.⁴

Based on this background, the Corps recommended the project as one of the five near-term features in LCA. Here is language from the LCA <u>recommendation chapter</u>:

Studies or design of the five near-term features have been advanced to a state of readiness that suggest the feasibility-level decision documents can be completed prior to the next WRDA. In addition, initial analysis indicates that these five features address the most critical ecological needs of the coastal area in locations where delaying action would result in a "loss of opportunity" to achieve restoration and/or much greater restoration costs. These five critical near-term features present a range of effects essential for success in restoring the Louisiana coast. The benefits provided by these features include: sustainable reintroduction of riverine resources; rebuilding of wetlands in areas at high risk for future loss, the preservation and maintenance of critical coastal geomorphic structures; preservation of critical areas within the coastal ecosystem; and, the opportunity to begin to identify and evaluate potential long-term solutions.

The five near-term critical restoration features that I recommend for specific Congressional authorization...

- 1. MRGO Environmental Restoration Features
- 2. <u>Small Diversion at Hope Canal</u>
- 3. Barataria Basin Barrier Shoreline Restoration
- 4. Small Bayou Lafourche Reintroduction

³ Gulf Coast Ecosystem Restoration Council, Comprehensive Plan Update, 2016, *Restoring the Gulf Coast's Ecosystem and Economy*, p. 24 <u>https://www.restorethegulf.gov/sites/default/files/CO-PL_20161208_CompPlanUpdate_English.pdf</u> ⁴ https://www.lca.gov/Library/ProductList.aspx?ProdType=0&folder=1125_













MississippiRiverDelta.org

/MississippiRiverDelta

@RestoreDelta

5. Medium Diversion with Dedicated Dredging at Myrtle Grove

In other words, in 2004 the USACE told Congress that this project was among the top five most important nearterm projects in coastal Louisiana.

Mississippi River Reintroduction into Maurepas Swamp would be directly adjacent to the USACE West Shore Lake Pontchartrain levee. The long term ecosystem benefits of this project would more than mitigate for bottomland hardwood and swamp habitat that is lost through the construction of the West Shore Lake Pontchartrain project. This would be accomplished in the habitat being affected, which conforms to USACE's mitigation goals and priorities. The Corps/EPA <u>compensatory mitigation regulations</u> put a strong emphasis on aligning mitigation with watershed plans. Using part of the Mississippi River Reintroduction into Maurepas Swamp benefits for mitigation would be fully consistent with the watershed plan for the area, which is *Louisiana's Comprehensive Master Plan for a Sustainable Coast 2017*.⁵ Using the restoration project to mitigate for the risk reduction project aligns perfectly with a major premise of the mitigation regulations and policies.⁶

Restoration and long-term sustenance of the swamp forest adjacent to the levee would provide additional synergistic effects for the risk reduction project by reducing wave energy against the system during storms and helping to stave off saltwater intrusion as relative sea level increases. Saltwater is an obvious threat to levee integrity, as USACE well understands, and places additional costs on the local sponsor.

An opportunity such as this for partnership with the state, the parishes involved, the Pontchartrain Levee District, with the RESTORE Council, and with other Federal agencies working for restoration of Louisiana's coastal ecosystems, should not be missed. Cost savings through coordinated land acquisition, mobilization and contracting should also be explored.

We urge the Corps to utilize Maurepas as mitigation and partner with others to fund this important project.

⁶ To quote the regulatory requirement (emphasis added): (82 of 113) (c) Watershed approach to compensatory mitigation. (1) The district engineer must <u>use a watershed approach to establish compensatory mitigation requirements in DA permits to the extent appropriate and practicable</u>. Where a watershed plan is available, the district engineer will determine whether the plan is appropriate for use in the watershed approach for compensatory mitigation. In cases where the district engineer determines that an appropriate watershed plan is available, the watershed approach should be based on that plan. Where no such plan is available, the watershed approach should be based on information provided by the project sponsor or available from other sources. The ultimate goal of a watershed approach is to maintain and improve the quality and quantity of aquatic resources within watersheds through strategic selection of compensatory mitigation sites.











⁵https://www.epa.gov/sites/production/files/2015-03/documents/2008_04_10_wetlands_wetlands_mitigation_final_rule_4_10_08.pdf (Page 80 of 113) Watershed plan means a plan developed by federal, tribal, state, and/ or local government agencies or appropriate non-governmental organizations, in consultation with relevant stakeholders, for the specific goal of aquatic resource restoration, establishment, enhancement, and preservation. A watershed plan addresses aquatic resource conditions in the watershed, multiple stakeholder interests, and land uses. Watershed plans may also identify priority sites for aquatic resource restoration and protection. Examples of watershed plans include special area management plans, advance identification programs, and wetland management plans.

RESTORE THE MISSISSIPPI RIVER DELTA

MississippiRiverDelta.org

/MississippiRiverDelta

@RestoreDelta

Sincerely,

Ane Ru

Brian Moore Legislative Director, National Audubon Society

MatalieSnider

Natalie Snider, Senior Director, Coastal Resilience Environmental Defense Fund

David P. Mutz

David Muth Director, Gulf Restoration National Wildlife Federation

Kimber Deis Regter

Kim Reyher, Executive Director Coalition to Restore Coastal Louisiana

John Cl. Sogo

John Lopez, Ph.D., Director, Coastal Sustainability Program Lake Pontchartrain Basin Foundation









